BOARD OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Codes and Standards Committee Monday, September 18, 2017 12:00 p.m.

Glen Allen, VA

see attached tables regarding referenced material

Members Present
John Ainslie
J.P. Carr
Robby Dawson
Sean Farrell
Helen Hardiman
Abigail Johnson
Shekar Narasimhan
Earl Reynolds
Patty Shields

Members Absent Rich Napier Steve Semones

Call to Order

Tommy Shields

Mr. J.P. Carr, Chairman of the Codes and Standards Committee called the meeting to order.

Roll Call

The roll was called by Mr. Kyle Flanders of the Department of Housing and Community Development's (DHCD) Policy Office. Mr. Flanders reported that a quorum was present.

Opening Remarks

Mr. Carr noted that there would not be public comment during the committee, though the chair may recognize members of the audience for limited comment on specific items. It was noted that any action taken by this committee will be presented as a recommendation to the full Board.

Report of the Statewide Fire Prevention Code Development Committee

Mr. Carr stated that the Statewide Fire Prevention Code (SFPC) Development Committee met prior to this committee meeting to discuss and recommend proposals of the SFPC from Book 5 parts I and II. Mr. Robby Dawson moved the proposals as a block. After discussion among committee members the motion was seconded and passed.

Review of Proposed Changes to the USBC

Ms. Cindy Davis, Deputy Director of Building and Fire Regulations of DHCD, presented the proposals to the committee concerning the Uniform Statewide Building Code (USBC).

Book 6*

Tab 1

Tab 1 of Book 6 was presented as items for consensus. Staff advised that proposal number C-113.7.1(1) be moved from consensus to non-consensus based on guidance provided by the Attorney General's office. Mr. Dawson requested that proposal number CB-2603.5.5 be pulled from the block. Mr. Shekar Narasimhan requested that proposal number CE-R403.3.3 be pulled from the block. A motion was made that the block less the proposals aforementioned be approved. The motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number C-113.7.1(1) regarding third party inspectors; the motion was seconded and passed.

Mr. Dawson stated that he pulled proposal number CB-2603.5.5 in reference to the fires that occurred in London and Hawaii. Mr. Carr acknowledged Kenneth Payne representing the American Institute of Architects. The proponent stated that his proposal was unrelated to the fires that occurred. A motion was made to approve the proposal and seconded; the motion passed.

Regarding proposal number CE-R403.3.3, duct testing, a motion was made to approve the proposal and seconded. After discussion, the motion passed.

Tab 2

Tab 2 of Book 6 was presented as items for consensus for disapproval with the addition of proposal number CTM-401.4. Ms. Patty Shields requested that proposal number CB-202(1) be pulled from the block. A motion was made to disapprove the block minus proposal number CB-202(1); the motion was seconded and passed.

Regarding proposal number CB-202(1), definition of an accessory dwelling unit, there was discussion that this item would cause confusion. A motion was made and seconded to disapprove, the motion passed.

Tab 3

There was a motion to approve proposal number C-105.2.1 regarding certification of permit technicians, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to approve proposal number C-106.4

regarding fire department connections, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to approve proposal number C-108.1 regarding supplemental floor support systems, after no second the motion died. A motion was then made to disapprove the proposal, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to approve proposal number C-108.2(1) regarding roof decking exemption, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number C-108.2(3) regarding roof covering, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number C-109.7 regarding as built drawings, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to approve proposal number C-116.4 regarding the issuance of certificate of occupancy for pre-USBC buildings or other existing structures, the motion was seconded and failed. A motion was then made for disapproval, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CB-903.2.4 regarding sprinklers in buildings with Group F-1 occupancy, the motion was seconded. There was some discussion as to why it was changed and concerns that if it was not approved that it would conflict with the Rehabilitation Code; the motion passed. A motion was then made for staff to correlate the language between the Building Code and the Rehabilitation Code, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to approve proposal number CB-903.3.5.1.1 regarding limited area sprinkler systems, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CB-915.1.1(2) regarding in building emergency communication installations, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CB-

3307.2 regarding occupied areas during construction, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CE-R405.5.2 regarding glazing, the motion was seconded. There was discussion on what other states have adopted, the motion failed. There was then a motion to approve the proposal, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CR-E3902.16 regarding arc fault circuit interrupter (AFCI), the motion was seconded. After some discussion, the motion failed 5-5. A motion was then made to approve the proposal and seconded, the motion failed 5-5. A motion was then made to carry the proposal over to the next code change cycle; the motion was seconded and failed 5-5.

There was a motion made to approve proposal number CR-R313.1 regarding sprinklers in dwellings for senior living, the motion was seconded. There was discussion over having the option versus making it mandatory, the cost and willingness to install, and concern over the language of the term marketed, the motion failed 5-5. A motion was then made to disapprove the proposal, the motion was seconded and failed 5-5. A motion was then made to carry the proposal over to the next code change cycle, the motion was seconded and failed 5-5.

There was a motion made to approve proposal number CR-R802.2 regarding roof framing, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion made to disapprove proposal number CR-R803.2.4 regarding the strengthening of roof diaphragm, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion to disapprove proposal number CR-R905.2.8.5 regarding drip edges, the motion was seconded and passed.

There was a motion made to disapprove proposal number CR-R908.7 regarding roof system repairs, the motion was seconded and passed.

Tab 4

A motion was made to move as a block of consensus for approval; the motion was seconded and passed.

Tab 5

A motion was made to move as a block of consensus for disapproval; the motion was seconded and passed.

Tab 6

A motion was made to approve proposal number M-103.2.1 regarding violations from the Virginia Construction Code, the motion was seconded and approved.

A motion was made to disapprove proposal number M-505.4 regarding hot water temperature, the motion was seconded and passed.

A motion was made to disapprove proposal number M-602.1(1) regarding heat supply, the motion was seconded and passed.

A motion was made to disapprove proposal number R-402.5 regarding smoke alarms, there was no second and the motion died. There was then a motion to approve the proposal, the motion was seconded and passed.

Review of Proposed Changes to the VADR and IBSR

Ms. Davis presented the proposals to the committee concerning the Virginia Amusement Device Regulations (VADR) and the Industrialized Building Safety Regulations (IBSR).

Book 6*

Tab 7

A motion was made to move the block as consensus for approval, the motion was seconded and passed.

Other Business

There was no other business to be discussed.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned